

## References

- Adeleke, A. J., Odunfa, S. A., Olanbiwoninu, A., & Owoseni, M. (2012). Production of Cellulase and Pectinase from Orange Peels by Fungi. *Nature and Science*, 10(5), 107–112.
- Alkadri, S. P., & Asmara, K. D. (2021). Pelatihan Pembuatan Eco-Enzyme Sebagai Hand sanitizer dan Desinfektan Pada Masyarakat Dusun Margo Sari Desa Rasau Jaya Tiga Dalam Upaya Mewujudkan Desa Mandiri Tangguh Covid-19 Berbasis Eco-Community. *Jurnal Buletin Al-Ribaath*, 98(103). E-ISSN: 2579-9495
- Arun, C., & Sivashanmugam, P. (2015). Investigation of biocatalytic potential of garbage enzyme and its influence on stabilization of industrial waste activated sludge. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 94, 471-478. <https://doi.org/j.psep.2014.10.008>
- Arun, C., & Sivashanmugam, P. (2015). Identification and optimization of parameters for the semi-continuous production of garbage enzyme from pre-consumer organic waste by green RP-HPLC method. *Waste Management*, 44, 28-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.07.010>
- Arun, C., & Sivashanmugam, P. (2017). Study on optimization of process parameters for enhancing the multi-hydrolytic enzyme activity in garbage enzyme produced from pre-consumer organic waste. *Bioresource Technology*, 226, 200-210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.12.029>
- Ayala, J. R., Montero, G., Coronado, M. A., García, C., Curiel-Alvarez, M. A., León, J. A., Sagaste, C. A., & Montes, D. G. (2021). Characterization of orange peel waste and valorization to obtain reducing sugars. *Molecules*, 26(5), 1348. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26051348>
- Batt, C. A., Robinson, R. K., Chang, P.-K., Horn, B. W., Abe, K., & Gomi, K. (2014). ASPERGILLUS | Introduction. In *Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology (Second Edition)* (pp. 77–82). essay, Academic Press.

- Bulai, I. S., Adamu, H., Umar, Y. A., & Sabo, A. (2021). Biocatalytic remediation of used motor oil-contaminated soil by fruit garbage enzymes. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/j.jece.2021.105465>
- Desvaux Mickaël, Guedon, E., & Petitdemange, H. (2000). Cellulose catabolism by *Clostridium cellulolyticum* growing in batch culture on defined medium. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66(6), 2461–2470. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.66.6.2461-2470.2000>
- Fan, Y. V., Lee, C. T., Klemes, J. J., Chua, L. S., Sarmidi, M. R., & Leow, C. W. (2016). Evaluation of Effective Microorganisms on home scale organic waste composting. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 1-8. <https://doi.org/j.jenvman.2017.04.019>
- Febriani, Safitri, R., Suhendrayatna, Ichwana, Sofia, & Mahidin (2021). Eco Enzyme Hasil Pengolahan Sampah Sayuran dan Buah-Buahan sebagai Produk Pembersih di Desa Lamgampang. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat*, 40-47. ISSN: 2963-1599
- Fegley, B., & Osborne, R. (2013). *Practical Chemical Thermodynamics for Geoscientists*. Amsterdam.
- Food and Agricultural Organization (2003). *Outstanding Farmer from Thailand Honored at World Food Day Celebration*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nation. <https://www.fao.org/asiapacific/news/detail-events/en/c/47368/>
- Gu, S., Xu, D., Zhou, F., Chen, C., Liu, C., Tian, M., & Jiang, A. (2021). The garbage enzyme with Chinese Hoenylocust fruits showed better properties and application than when using the garbage enzyme alone. *Foods*, 10(11), 2656. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10112656>
- Gupta, V. K., Pandey, A., Confortin, T. C., Spannemberg, S. S., Todero, I., Luft, L., Brun, T., Alves, E. A., Kuhn, R. C., & Mazutti, M. A. (2019). Chapter 21 - Microbial Enzymes as Control Agents of Diseases and Pests in Organic Agriculture. *In New and future developments in Microbial Biotechnology and bioengineering: Microbial secondary metabolites biochemistry and applications* (1st ed., pp. 321–332). essay, Elsevier.
- Hanifah, I. A., Primarista, N. P. V., Prasetyawan, S., Safitri, A., Adyati, T., & Srihadyastutie, A. (2021). The Effect of Variations in Sugar Types and Fermentation Time on Enzyme Activity and Total

- Titrated Acid on Eco-Enzyme Results of Fermentation. *Advances in Biological Sciences Research*, 22, 585-589. <https://doi.org/10.2991/absr.k.220406.084>
- Huang, Y.-B., & Fu, Y. (2013). Hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose by solid acid catalysts. *Green Chemistry*, 15(5), 1095. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc40136g>
- Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Yu, D., Yao, X., Han, J., Cheng, R., Cui, H., Yan, G., Zhang, X., & Zhu, G. (2021). Garbage enzymes effectively regulated the succession of enzymatic activities and the bacterial community during sewage sludge composting. *Bioresource Technology*, 327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124792>
- Kaza, S., Yao, L. C., Bhada-Tata, P., & Van Woerden, F. (2018). *What A Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management*. <https://datatopics.worldbank.org/what-a-waste/>
- Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (2021). *Sistem Informasi Pengelolaan Sampah Nasional*. <https://sipsn.menlhk.go.id/sipsn/>
- Kumar, P. P., Raj, J. P. P., Christudas, I. V. S. N., Jansi, R. S., Murugan, N., Agastian, P., Arunachalam, C., & Alharbi, S. A. (2015). Screening of actinomycetes for enzyme and antimicrobial activities from the soil sediments of northern Tamil Nadu, South India. *Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature*, 5(1), 58–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22311866.2015.1009385>
- Lananan, F., Hamid, S. H. A., Din, W. N. S., Ali, N., Khatoon, H., Jusoh, A., & Endut, A. (2014). Symbiotic bioremediation of aquaculture wastewater in reducing ammonia and phosphorus utilizing Effective Microorganism (EM-1) and microalgae (*Chlorella* sp.). *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 95, 127-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.06.013>
- López, J. Á. S., Li, Q., & Thompson, I. P. (2010). Biorefinery of Waste Orange Peel. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 30(1), 63–69. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07388550903425201>
- Lücke, F. K. (2014). Food Technologies: Biopreservation. *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, 3, 135-139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-378612-8.00272-9>

- Mavani, H. A., Teew, I. M., Wong, L., Yew, H. Z., Mahyuddin, A., Ghazali, R. A., & Pow, E. H. N. (2020). Antimicrobial efficacy of fruit peels eco-enzyme against *Enterococcus faecalis*: An in vitro study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(14), 1-12.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145107>
- Mayer, J., Scheid, S., Widmer, F., Fließbach, A., & Oberholzer, H. R. (2010). How effective are 'Effective microorganisms® (EM)'? Results from a field study in temperate climate. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 46(2), 230-239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.08.007>
- Muttalib, S. A. A., Ismail, S. N., & Praveena, S. M. (2016). Application of Effective Microorganism (EM) in Food Waste Composting: A review. *Asia Pacific Environmental and Occupational Health Journal*, 2(2), 37-47.  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301897945\\_Application\\_of\\_Effective\\_Microorganism\\_EM\\_in\\_Food\\_Waste\\_Composting\\_A\\_review](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301897945_Application_of_Effective_Microorganism_EM_in_Food_Waste_Composting_A_review)
- Padmavathi, T., Bhargavi, R., Priyanka, P. R., Niranjan, N. R., & Pavitra, P. V. (2018). Screening of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria and production of amylase and its partial purification. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 16(2), 357–362.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2018.03.005>
- Patsalou, M., Samanides, C. G., Protopapa, E., Stavrinou, S., Vyrides, I., & Koutinas, M. (2019). A citrus peel waste biorefinery for ethanol and methane production. *Molecules*, 24(13), 2451.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24132451>
- Quiroz, M., & Céspedes, C. (2019). Bokashi as an Amendment and Source of Nitrogen in Sustainable Agricultural Systems: a Review. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 19, 237–248.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-0009-9>
- Qureshi, N. (2009). Solvent Production. *Encyclopedia of Microbiology*, 3, 512-528.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012373944-5.00160-7>
- Rahman, S., Haque, I., Goswami, R. C. D., Barooah, P., Sood, K., & Choudhury, B. (2021). Characterization and FPLC Analysis of Garbage Enzyme: Biocatalytic and Antimicrobial

- Activity. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 12, 293–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-020-00956-z>
- Rasit, N., Fern, L. H., & Ghani, W. A. W. A. K. (2019). Production and Characterization of Eco Enzyme Produced From Tomato and Orange Wastes and Its Influence on Aquaculture Sludge. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 10(3), 967–980. <https://doi.org/10.20319/mijst.2018.42.1226>
- Ruiz, B., & Flotats, X. (2016). Effect of limonene on batch anaerobic digestion of citrus peel waste. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 109, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2015.12.011>
- Sambaraju, S., & Lakshmi, V. S. (2020). Eco-friendly treatment of dairy wastewater using garbage enzyme. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 33, 650-653. <https://doi.org/j.matpr.2020.05.719>
- Sharma, R., Oberoi, H. S., & Dhillon, G. S. (2016). Fruit and vegetable processing waste. *Agro-Industrial Wastes as Feedstock for Enzyme Production*, 23–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-802392-1.00002-2>
- Shetty, S. B., Mahin-Syed-Ismail, P., Varghese, S., Thomas-George, B., Kandathil- Thajuraj, P., Baby, D., Haleem, S., Sreedhar, S., & Devang-Divakar, D. (2016). Antimicrobial effects of citrus sinensis peel extracts against dental caries bacteria: An in vitro study. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*. <https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.52493>
- Thillainayagam, B. P., Saravanan, P., Ravindiran, G., & Josephraj, J. (2020). Continuous sorption of methylene blue dye from aqueous solution using effective microorganisms-based water hyacinth waste compost in a packed column. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-01208-9>
- Tong, Y., & Liu, B. (2020). Test research of different material made garbage enzyme's effect to soil total nitrogen and organic matter. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 510. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/510/4/042015>


- Vama, L., & Cherekar, M. N. (2019). Production, Extraction and Uses of Eco-Enzyme Using Citrus Fruit Waste: Wealth from Waste. *Asian Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc*, 22(2), 346-351. ISSN: 0972-3005
- Vauris, A., Valcauda, S., Husson, F., & Coninck, J. D. (2022). A novel method to assess heat transfer and impact of relevant physicochemical parameters for the scaling up of solid state fermentation systems. *Biotechnology Reports*, 36.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2022.e00764>
- Vergara, S. E., & Tchobanoglous, G. (2012). Municipal Solid Waste and the Environment: A Global Perspective. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 37, 277-309.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-050511-122532>
- Wei, X., Cao, P., Wang, G., & Han, J. (2020). Microbial inoculant and garbage enzyme reduced cadmium (Cd) uptake in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (Bge.) under Cd stress. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110311>
- Yang, S. (2017). *Comprehensive utilization of citrus by-products* (1st ed.). Elsevier Science.
- Yanti, A. H., Setyawati, T. R., & Kurniatuhadi, R. (2020). Enzymatic activities of *Streptomyces* spp. isolated from natural habitat of Nipah worm in Sungai Kakap District, West Kalimantan. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 550(1), 012017.  
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/550/1/012017>

## Appendix


### Appendix 1. One-Way ANOVA Test of Day 56

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.0245	2	0.0122	15.507	0.0043	5.14
Within Groups	0.0047	6	0.0008			
Total	0.0292	8				


### Appendix 2. Amylase Enzyme Activity After 30 Days




### Appendix 3. Protease Enzyme Activity After 30 Days



### Appendix 4. Amylase Enzyme Activity After 60 Days



**Appendix 5. Starch Enzyme Activity After 60 Days**





**Appendix 6. The Raw pH Progression Data**

	pH															
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Day 8	Day 10	Day 12	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42	Day 49	Day 56	Day 63	Day 70
<b>A1</b>	4.72	3.75	3.41	3.38	3.35	3.36	3.34	3.29	3.19	3.23	3.2	3.35	3.23	3.07	3.15	3.17
<b>A2</b>	4.63	3.76	3.41	3.42	3.41	3.41	3.4	3.34	3.25	3.25	3.24	3.36	3.25	3.06	3.15	3.2
<b>A3</b>	4.72	3.73	3.42	3.44	3.42	3.42	3.4	3.34	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.38	3.29	3.09	3.16	3.22
<b>B1</b>	4.65	3.72	3.4	3.43	3.42	3.4	3.33	3.33	3.21	3.18	3.16	3.27	3.18	2.97	3.04	3.1
<b>B2</b>	4.69	3.74	3.4	3.41	3.4	3.39	3.35	3.31	3.2	3.19	3.17	3.25	3.09	2.94	3	3.08
<b>B3</b>	4.69	3.71	3.41	3.43	3.4	3.38	3.34	3.31	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.25	3.15	2.96	3.02	3.08
<b>C1</b>	6.95	4.85	4.13	3.69	4.17	3.57	3.54	3.49	3.2	3.08	3.08	3.09	3.09	2.95	2.97	2.84
<b>C2</b>	6.79	4.89	4.21	3.72	4.12	3.52	3.46	3.42	3.06	3.01	2.98	2.99	3	2.94	2.92	2.86
<b>C3</b>	6.8	5.09	3.83	3.64	4.16	3.56	3.53	3.52	3.2	3.1	3.09	3.1	3.1	3.02	3.07	2.99

